CZECH UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES PRAGUE

Faculty of Agrobiology, Food and Natural Resources

Evaluation of the Diploma Thesis by supervisor

Competition to Early Growth of Kernza Intermediate Wheatgrass		
Name of the student	Saul Kelly Roman	THE DRA
Thesis supervisor	Theresa Ann Piskáčková, Ph.D.	2
Department	Department of Agroecology and Crop Production	E
Author's stance and a	pproach to the addressed problems	1 2 3 4
Logical process being used, work with data and information		1 2 3 4
Theoretical backgrour	nd of an author	1 2 3 4
Author's co-operation with supervisor and department		1 2 3 4
Formulation of object	ives	1 2 3 4
Work with scientific li	terature (quotations, norms)	1 2 3 4
Work with data and ir	nformation	1 2 3 4
Choice of appropriate	methods and methodology used	1 2 3 4
Level processing resul	ts and discussion	1 2 3 4
Comprehensibility of the text and level of language		1 2 3 4
Fulfilment of objective	- 1906 -	1 2 3 4
Formulation of conclusions		1 2 3 4
Professional contribution of the work		1 2 3 4
Relevance to practice/theory		1 2 3 4
Clarity and professionalism of expression in the thesis		1 2 3 4
The structure of paragraphs and chapters		1 2 3 4
Summary comply with	n the content of thesis	1 2 3 4
Evaluation of the wor	k by grade (1, 2, 3, 4)	4

Evaluation: 1 = the best

Date 04/05/2023

el. signed by Theresa Ann Piskáčková, Ph.D. on 04/05/2023 09:52 Supervisor signature

Other comments or suggestions:

Working with this student, I know how far they have com in learning how to evaluate literature and apply statistics. The data interpretation and development of the discussion to connect the results with the literature explored in the theoretical background, could still be improved.

Most unfortunately, this student has not been careful with the sourcing of their information. At least 11 in-text citations have no corresponding source in the bibliography and at least 15 articles listed in the bibliography do not seem to exist when searched. Such carelessness undermines academic integrity, not to mention the scientific process and therefore the thesis work cannot be defended at this time. The student will need to find adequate existing literature to support their claims and to discuss their results before the thesis can be evaluated, as a whole.



Plagiarism control: The system Theses.cz has not assessed the thesis as suspicious.

Date 04/05/2023

el. signed by Theresa Ann Piskáčková, Ph.D. *on* 04/05/2023 09:52 Supervisor signature